

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,782 10/30/2003		Mei-Chiao Wu	11702-003005	8884		
26161	7590	06/10/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI 225 FRANK		SON PC	LILLING, HERBERT J			
BOSTON, I		0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1651		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
A ***	ion Antina Communica	10/697,78	2	WU ET AL.					
Offi	ice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
			J. LILLING	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Respor	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2004 and 30 October 2003.								
2a)☐ This ac		2b) This action is no							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	4) Claim(s) 1-15,26 and 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15,26 and 27 is/are rejected.								
Application Pap	ers								
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>									
,—	•	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	ACION OF IOIN F	10-132.				
Priority under 3	•								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/566834.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Refer	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
3) M Information Dis	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (f sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ail Date <u>March 3, 2004</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PT	O-152)				

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed October 30, 2003 and the prior art information disclosure statement filed March 03, 2004.

- Claims 1-15 and 26-27 are now pending in this application.
   Claims 16-25 and 28-40 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-15 and 26-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 12, 14 and 16-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,767,543 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter as noted by the claimed subject matter of claims 1, 12, 14 and 16-19.

It is also noted that the language of claim 1 which requires steps (b) and (b) whereby the first culture is subjected to a first stage of agitation without indicating the rate as well as the time which can be one second or less which culture is considered to be a second culture that is agitated at a second rate which does not have any "predetermined rate" that may be considered to have no patentable difference.

Thus, it is considered that the patented claims process steps which stops or separates the insolubles and further process the second culture at a pH within the

Art Unit: 1651

instantly claimed language renders the claims double patenting based on the doctrine noted in the following paragraphs:

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal

disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

Application/Control Number: 10/697,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

which applicant regards as the invention with respect to the "first predetermined rate" and "second predetermined rate". What are these rates? What is "period" in the expression "period of time" that is necessary to obtain the second stage?

## 5. **No claim is allowed.**

- 6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair">http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1651</u> June 8, 2005

> Dr. Herbett J. Lilling Primary Examiner Group 1600 Art Unit 1651